

EXHIBIT 33



FOLEY & LARDNER LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE
 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-5306
 414.271.2400 TEL
 414.297.4900 FAX
 WWW.FOLEY.COM

WRITER'S DIRECT LINE
 414.297.5518
 awronski@foley.com EMAIL

CLIENT/MATTER NUMBER
 012474-0427

September 8, 2020

Via E-Mail

Charles J. Nerko
 Offit Kurman
 10 East 40th Street, Suite 3500
 New York, NY 10016

Re: Bessemer System Federal Credit Union

Dear Charles:

I received your letter, dated September 3, 2020. Our position remains that no meritorious grounds for disqualification exist, for the reasons detailed in my August 10, 2020 correspondence. You are well aware that I have never suggested a “stay of legal ethics” or anything of the sort. Such hyperbole is both unnecessary and unproductive. What I have done is explained that Rule 3.9 makes further discussion about disqualification premature unless and until Bessemer’s “fraud” claim survives summary judgment and proceeds to trial.

As to the balance of your letter:

First, common sense dictates that one not defend his own deposition and I have never intended to do so. That said, Fiserv reserves fully its right to object to Bessemer conducting the deposition of its outside counsel and, at the very least, this matter will obviously require further discussion. (You don’t need to send me another letter about it now; I understand that Bessemer “reserves all of its rights and remedies.” Fiserv does as well.)

Second, while I appreciate your professed concern for my clients, they are sophisticated entities and capable of determining whether a conflict exists and whether or not to waive it. Rest assured, I have shared with them all of your many, many complaints, motions and letters. They are well informed about your positions.

Third, I confirm again that Fiserv will not assert waiver, laches or ongoing litigation activities in opposition to a disqualification motion based on the passage of time between the date of your initial letter on July 17, 2020, and the date of a motion that Bessemer files within a reasonable time after the parties have completed mediation and had a reasonable time to discuss these issues.

AUSTIN
 BOSTON
 CHICAGO
 DALLAS
 DENVER

DETROIT
 HOUSTON
 JACKSONVILLE
 LOS ANGELES
 MADISON

MEXICO CITY
 MIAMI
 MILWAUKEE
 NEW YORK
 ORLANDO

SACRAMENTO
 SAN DIEGO
 SAN FRANCISCO
 SILICON VALLEY
 TALLAHASSEE

TAMPA
 WASHINGTON, D.C.
 BRUSSELS
 TOKYO



FOLEY & LARDNER LLP

Charles J. Nerko
September 8, 2020
Page 2

I hope that we can now have a more productive focus on mediation.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Andrew J. Wronski

Andrew J. Wronski